IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDIATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.146 OF 2004

Pramodsingh Naresh .. Appellant.

Versus

Vaishali Pramodsingh Naresh .. Respondent.

Ms.Manda Loke for the appellant.
Ms.Leena M.Chandiramani for the respondent.

CORAM : S.B.MHASE &
D.B.BHOSALE, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 4, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard.

2. Both the parties are present in the court. This appeal is preferred against the decree of divorce passed by the mutual consent and challenging the interim access of the child - Harshita, namely, daughter. Now the parties have submitted the consent terms in substitution of earlier consent terms which is mutually filed before the family court and have submitted that earlier decree be set aside and to substitute the decree in terms of consent terms which are presented in this court. The consent terms

presented in this court are marked "X" for identification. We have ascertained from both the parties namely the appellant and respondent that they have read the consent terms and have understood the same and thereafter they have voluntarily signed the consent terms. Both of them agreed that their advocates have also interpreted and explained the consent terms. In view of this, we find that the consent terms are entered into by the parties voluntarily and, therefore, we hereby accept the consent terms. We, therefore, set aside the decree passed by the 7th family court in Petition No.A-341 of 2003 dated 14.9.2004. In substitution of the said decree we pass decree in terms of the consent terms presented in this court marked "X" for identification. The family court appeal stands disposed of. In the facts and circumstances of the case no costs.

3. Parties to act on an authenticated copy of this order duly signed by the Sheristedar of this court.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.) **(S.B.MHASE, J.)**

:hcs/sbm/